

MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

CITY OF PALM SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA

www.palmspringsca.gov

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

August 16, 2012,
4:00 p.m., Regular Meeting



Palm Springs City Hall
Large Conference Room
3200 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way
Palm Springs, CA 92262

COMMISSIONERS

Kristin Bloomer
Leo Cohen
Aftab Dada
Christine Hammond
Mark Marshall
Robert Moon, Chair

Kevin Towner, Vice-Chair
David Vogel
John Williams
Elizabeth Glass*
Curt Watts*
*Alternates

Staff representatives: David H. Ready, City Manager
Geoffrey S. Kiehl, Director of Finance and Treasurer
Cindy Cairns, Administrative Assistant/Commission Secretary

City of Palm Springs Vision Statement: Palm Springs aspires to be a unique world-class desert community, where residents and visitors enjoy our high quality of life and a relaxing experience. We desire to balance our cultural and historical resources with responsible, sustainable economic growth and enhance our natural desert beauty. We are committed to providing responsive, friendly, and efficient customer service in an environment that fosters unity among all our citizens.

Please silence all cell phones, pagers and/or other electronic devices for the duration of the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER:

ROLL CALL:

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

ACCEPTANCE OF THE AGENDA: The Measure J Oversight Commission will discuss the order of the agenda and may amend the order, add items, and may remove items from the agenda for discussion.

PUBLIC COMMENT: This time has been set aside for members of the public to address the Measure J Oversight Commission on agenda items, and items of general interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission. Although the Measure J Oversight Commission values your comments, pursuant to the Brown Act, it generally cannot take any action on items not listed on the posted Agenda. Three (3) minutes are assigned for each speaker.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: July 19, 2012 Regular Meeting.

1. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

1.A. DISCUSSION OF METHODOLOGIES AND PROCESSES FOR DATA GATHERING AND WEIGHING:

RECOMMENDATION: Direct staff as appropriate.

2. STUDY SESSION:

2.A. REPORT FROM MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION AD HOC COMMITTEE ON APPLICATIONS, METRICS, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT:

RECOMMENDATION: Receive and file.

2.B. REPORT FROM MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION AD HOC COMMITTEE ON GOALS, PROCESS, TIMELINE, BUDGET:

RECOMMENDATION: Receive and file.

2.C. REPORT FROM MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION AD HOC COMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATIONS:

RECOMMENDATION: Receive and file.

2.D. REPORT FROM MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS:

RECOMMENDATION: Receive and file.

3. NEW BUSINESS:

3.A. REVIEW OF AD HOC COMMITTEE PROCESSES:

RECOMMENDATION: Direct staff as appropriate.

4. REPORTS AND INFORMATION ITEMS:

4.A. FINANCE DIRECTOR'S REPORT:

RECOMMENDATION: Receive report from staff.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

STAFF MEMBER COMMENTS:

COMMISSION MEMBER ITEMS AND REQUESTS:

ADJOURNMENT: The Measure J Oversight Commission of the City of Palm Springs will adjourn to the next regular meeting at 4:00 p.m., Thursday, September 20, 2012, Palm Springs City Hall, 3200 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way.

PUBLIC NOTICES

The City of Palm Springs Large Conference Room is handicapped accessible. It is the intention of the City of Palm Springs to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in all respects. If, as an attendee or a participant at this meeting, or in meetings on a regular basis, you will need special assistance beyond what is normally provided, the City will attempt to accommodate you in every reasonable manner. Please contact the Department of Finance and Treasury, (760) 323-8229, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting to inform us of your particular needs and to determine if accommodation is feasible.

Pursuant to G.C. Section 54957.5(b)(2) the designated office for inspection of records in connection with the meeting is the Department of Finance and Treasury, City Hall, 3200 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way. Complete Agenda Packets are available for public inspection at City Hall, Department of Finance and Treasury. Agenda and staff reports are available on the City's website www.palmspringsca.gov. If you would like additional information on any item appearing on this agenda, please contact the Department of Finance and Treasury at (760) 323-8229.

Any person who wishes to speak regarding an item on the agenda or on a subject within the Measure J Oversight Commission's jurisdiction during the "Public Comments" portion of the agenda must file a "Speaker Card" with the Commission Secretary BEFORE that portion of the agenda is called. Although the Measure J Oversight Commission values your comments, pursuant to the Brown Act, it generally cannot take any action on items not listed on the posted agenda.

AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING AND NOTICE

I, GEOFFREY S. KIEHL, Director of Finance and Treasurer of the City of Palm Springs, California, posted at Palm Springs City Hall, 3200 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way, before 4:00 p.m., Monday, August 13, 2012, and posted on the City's website as required by established policies and procedures.



GEOFFREY S. KIEHL
Director of Finance and Treasurer, City of Palm Springs

MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

CITY OF PALM SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA

www.palmspringsca.gov



MEETING MINUTES
THURSDAY, JULY 19, 2012, 4:00 pm
LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM, CITY HALL

CALL TO ORDER: Chairman Moon called the July 19, 2012 meeting of the Measure J Oversight Commission of the City of Palm Springs to order at 4:03 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

PRESENT: Commissioners Bloomer, Cohen, Dada, Hammond, Marshall, Vogel, Williams, Glass, Watts, Vice-Chair Towner, and Chair Moon.

ABSENT: None.

ALSO PRESENT: Secretary Cairns, Director of Finance Kiehl, City Manager Ready.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Commissioner Bloomer led the Pledge.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE AGENDA: By consensus of the Commission.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Eric Chiel, Palm Springs, commented on Commission objectives and community input, and suggested utilizing ideas from the previous community visioning workshops.

Tom Bettinger, Palm Springs, commented on ADA compliance and making Palm Springs an accessible City.

APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES: Approve as amended. **Motion Commissioner Dada, seconded by Commissioner Cohen and unanimously carried.**

1. NEW BUSINESS:

1.A. SUMMARY DISCUSSION OF THE BROWN ACT:

City Attorney Holland commented on the Brown Act and provided information and clarification on the following: the public deliberative process; the agenda process and adding an item to an agenda; providing a Public Comments period; communication between Commissioners including email communication; and talking to City staff for input and response to avoid Brown Act violations. City Attorney Holland also answered questions from the Commissioners regarding ad hoc committees, adding reports from the ad hoc committees to an agenda, and email communication between Commissioners.

ACTION: Receive and file City Attorney Memorandum dated July 19, 2012. **By consensus of the Commission.**

1.B. DISCUSSION AND IDENTIFICATION OF COMMISSION AD HOC COMMITTEES:

Chairman Moon provided descriptions and background on suggested ad hoc committees and proposed having three (3) Commissioners assigned to each ad hoc committee. The Commission commented and/or discussed the following regarding ad hoc committees: identifying public-private partnerships; the importance of developing a funding application; using the CDBG application as a template; utilizing staff in developing an application; the application process; developing goals and timelines for the ad hoc committees; using the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) process as a model; and starting with a total of four or five ad committees with the option of forming additional committees in the future.

Commissioner Glass left the meeting at 5:41 p.m.

ACTION: Establish the following Measure J Oversight Commission ad hoc committees: 1) Goals, Process, Timeline and Budget ad hoc committee; 2) Applications, Metrics, and Community Development (including Quality of Life) ad hoc committee; 3) Communications ad hoc committee; 4) Public Works and Capital Improvement ad hoc committee. **Motion Commissioner Williams, seconded by Commissioner Marshall and unanimously carried.**

ACTION: Appoint Commissioners Marshall, Vogel, Williams, and Vice-Chairman Towner to the Goals, Process, Timeline and Budget ad hoc committee; appoint Commissioners Cohen, Hammond and Glass to the Applications, Metrics, and Community Development ad hoc committee; appoint Commissioners Bloomer, Hammond, Williams, and Chairman Moon to the Communications ad hoc committee; and appoint Commissioners Dada, Watts, Marshall and Vogel to the Public Works and Capital Improvement ad hoc committee. **Motion Commissioner Marshall, seconded by Commissioner Hammond and unanimously carried.**

At this time the agenda was modified to move up Item 2.A., City Manager's Report. **By consensus of the Commission.**

2. REPORTS AND INFORMATION ITEMS:

2.A. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT: DISCUSSION OF CITYWIDE CAPITAL PROJECTS AND DOWNTOWN PARKING OPERATIONS.

City Manager Ready deferred on giving a formal report to the Commission at this time but commented on the Commission schedule, adding that the Joint Meeting with the City Council and the timeline of that Joint Meeting was flexible. City Manager Ready requested David Barakian, City Engineer, present information on the streets list.

City Engineer Barakian presented his report on the streets list and answered questions from the Commission. Mr. Barakian commented and/or discussed the following with regard to the streets list: the street study being done five years ago, with only some portions updated since that time; the streets being listed in order of condition, worst to best, keeping in mind the pavement condition index and the area in which the street is located; the curb and gutter process; project timeline and process, from Council approving the funds, to specs, bid, contracts, etc., being a six month time frame; local preference being given to local contractors as per the City ordinance; priority being given to the streets with the most traffic but per the City Council's request, local streets being included as well as thoroughfares; contractors required to give a one-year warranty on their work and the City seeking re-paving versus patching; and the City website having information on the pavement management system and resident traffic calming process.

At this time, the agenda resumed to the New Business items.

1.C. DISCUSSION OF COMMISSION SCHEDULE AND GOALS:

The Commission discussed scheduling a Joint Meeting with the City Council on November 7th to give Council an update, as well as when to schedule a Town Hall meeting. The Commission also discussed the ad hoc committees reporting their findings back to the Commission at the next Commission meeting on August 16th.

Chairman Moon and Commissioner Watts inquired on the budget process in regards to the Commission's recommendations to City Council. Finance Director Kiehl commented that there is an available budget in this fiscal year of \$4.7 million and if the Commission recommends a project to Council, the money has already been appropriated.

ACTION: Direct staff as appropriate. **By consensus of the Commission.**

1.D. DISCUSSION OF METHODOLOGIES AND PROCESSES FOR DATA GATHERING AND WEIGHING:

ACTION: Discussion of this item was tabled to the next regular meeting. **Motion Commissioner Williams, seconded by Commissioner Cohen and unanimously carried.**

1.E. DISCUSSION AND REVIEW OF ORGANIZATIONS WITH WHOM THE MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION SHOULD CONTACT AND MEET:

Commissioner Watts inquired on the methodology for commissioners to communicate with the ad hoc committees without violating the Brown Act. City Manager Ready commented that the information could be sent to a specific ad hoc committee or other commissioners by funneling the information through staff to distribute.

ACTION: Direct staff as appropriate. **By consensus of the Commission.**

2. REPORTS AND INFORMATION ITEMS:

2.B. FINANCE DIRECTOR'S REPORT:

Finance Director Kiehl commented on the sales tax revenue received from the Board of Equalization and the invoice for administration of the tax, which he stated will be taken care of administratively. Finance Director Kiehl also commented that his main goal is making sure the bonds get paid first. He further commented that he will be providing reports to the Commission of every dollar received and spent. Commissioner Vogel asked about the quarterly system used by the Board of Equalization. Finance Director Kiehl provided information on the quarterly system and the advance system, and the nature of our sales tax collections. Commissioner Watts commented that it would be helpful for the Commission to see the sales tax receipts for the last several years as a way of understanding the cyclicity and seasonal nature of collections. Finance Director Kiehl commented that he will provide that information to the Commission at a future meeting.

ACTION: Direct staff as appropriate. **By consensus of the Commission.**

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Wayne Gottlieb, Palm Springs, commented on the Commission seeking input from Community leaders and Clergy, and repaving the City Hall parking lot.

Seeing and hearing no additional speakers, the Public Comments portion of the meeting was closed.

STAFF MEMBER COMMENTS:

There were no further comments from staff at this time.

COMMISSION MEMBER ITEMS AND REQUESTS:

Commissioner Williams commented on the streets list and possibly updating it, eliminating projects that are in process. Chairman Moon commented on agenda items for the next meeting, asking that ad hoc committees give their initial report and recommendations. Commissioner Watts requested that Finance Director Kiehl provide documents on the seasonality of sales tax collections for the last five years as part of his report at the next meeting. Commissioner Vogel commented on adding to the next agenda a review of the ad hoc committee process. Commissioner Hammond would like to review the minutes from the Desert Fashion Plaza Visioning Meetings and asked that they be emailed to the Commission. City Manager Ready commented that the minutes are available in the archives on the website and will provide summary and/or minutes of the meetings to the Commission. Chairman Moon commented on the ad hoc committees scheduling staff time, asking the Commission to be respectful of staff time and minimize the number of meetings with them. Chairman Moon also asked the Commission members to send requests or questions for staff through him or bring to the next meeting.

ADJOURNMENT: The Measure J Oversight Commission of the City of Palm Springs adjourned at 6:43 p.m. to the next regular meeting at 4:00 p.m., Thursday, August 16, 2012, Palm Springs City Hall, 3200 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way. **Motion Commissioner Dada, seconded by Commissioner Cohen, and unanimously carried.**

APPROVED BY A MOTION OF THE MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION THIS 16TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2012.

Cindy Cairns
Commission Secretary

Measure "J" Commission
Ad Hoc Application and Metrics group
August 9, 2012

Members: Leo Cohen
Liz Glass
Rob Moon
Christine Hammond

Goal for the ad hoc committee

Develop a user friendly Measure "J" funding application and transparent process for receiving, evaluating, scoring, indexing applications for projects/programs from residents, businesses in Palm Springs.

Objectives

1. **Develop a simple yet comprehensive application for Measure "J" funding requests**
2. **Create an application "process"**
3. **Identify tools for application evaluation and ranking.**
4. **Create a chart to use as an historical document to record funded projects and dollar amounts.**

The draft application

- The Community Development Block Grant application was used as the initial model for the Measure "J" application.
- The group also reviewed a number of similar documents from other organizations.
- Commissioner review and comments are a valuable addition/contribution to this product.
- The ad hoc committee will ask various City staff and council members to review the document after commissioner comments.

Next steps

- Staff review and comments
- Finalize the application
- Create a timeline for the application process from issue of applications thru notifying applicants of results.
- Utilizing the goals established by the commission ad hoc group to create a matrix
- Create charts for tracking, documenting.
- Explore putting application status update/tracking tools on the website.



MEASURE J PROGRAM YEAR 2012-13 APPLICATION FOR PROJECT FUNDING

CITY OF PALM SPRINGS MEASURE J OVERSIGHT COMMISSION



Palm Springs Measure J Revenue Expenditure Proposal Program

Palm Springs Tax Dollars Improving the City Of Palm Springs

DATE SUBMITTED: _____

NOTE: THIS APPLICATION MUST BE SUBMITTED BY XX XXXX, 201X FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE MEASURE J COMMISSION.



Application for Measure J Revenue Project Funding

On November 8, 2011, Palm Springs voters approved Measure J, a local revenue measure to maintain local community services and economically revitalize our Downtown.

The Measure J ballot question put before the voters of Palm Springs was as follows: *“Shall an ordinance to provide funding that cannot be taken by the State and help preserve Palm Springs city services, including without limitation police, fire, library, parks, streets/pothole repair, acquisition and development of garages and parking facilities, installation and maintenance of improvements and facilities for the implementation of the Museum Market Plaza Specific Plan [note: now called the Downtown Development Plan], by enacting a City of Palm Springs 1% sales and use tax, for 25 years, subject to independent annual audits, be adopted?”*

As a result of the successful passage of the Measure J Initiative the Palm Springs City Council established the Measure J Oversight Commission and appointed an advisory commission comprised of eleven independent Palm Springs citizens tasked to review, recommend, oversee, and monitor the expenditures of Measure J funds. One of the objectives assigned to the Measure J Oversight Commission was to “Develop an application or process to reach community involvement, discussion, and priorities to recommend to the City Council for proposed Measure J expenditures”.

City Ordinance 1796 Chapter 2.59 paragraph 2.59.050 states that the Measure J Commission shall have certain powers and duties including: “Implement a CDBG or other reasonable application review processes to review proposed expenditures of Measure J revenues and make recommendations to the City Council”.

This application is intended to meet the assigned objective of the Measure J Commission and City Ordinance 1796 to reach out to the citizens of Palm Springs and provide a simple and straight forward yet complete application process by which individual citizens and local businesses and organizations of Palm Springs may submit their requests for projects they would like to see funded by Measure J funds. After a thorough review of all requests received and after weighing and ranking these requests, including discussion and review with City staff and public hearings, the Measure J Commission will provide their recommendations for projects for Measure J funding to the Palm Springs City Council for the Council’s action as they determine to be appropriate.

Individuals completing this Application for Project Funding should keep in mind that the amount of funds available for Measure J funding is very limited and the Measure J Commission fully expects to receive more requests than available funding. Only those applications which meet the parameters of the Measure J ballot initiative, are fully and accurately documented in this application, and which best meet the Evaluation Criteria included in this application can be considered for recommendation by the Measure J Commission to the City Council. The decisions of the Measure J Commission as to the merits of any application for the use of Measure J funds are recommendations to the City Council who will make all final decisions as to the use of these funds-

Application for Measure J Revenue Project Funding

PACKAGE AND SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Only applications proposing projects which serve the residents and the City of Palm Springs will be considered for funding by Measure J funds. Please use the instructions and forms provided in this packet. All Measure J project funding proposals must be complete and provided in the format of this application form. Do not attach any documents other than those requested in this application. Applications must be typed or neatly printed in black ink. Proposals that are not legible will not be considered.

CHECKLIST OF REQUIRED EXHIBITS:

- Project Summary
- Project Need Statement (*EXHIBIT A*)
- Project Proposed Budget (*EXHIBIT B*)
- Organization Budget (*EXHIBIT C*)
- Disclosure of Interest (*EXHIBIT D*)
- Attachment: Request for Measure J Funding Evaluation Criteria Form (This form to be completed by the Measure J Commission).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No employee, board member, officer, agent, consultant, elected or appointed official of the City, or the recipient of any funds from a Measure J funded/assisted project who have responsibilities with respect to Measure J project funding activities, or who are in a position to participate in a decision-making process or gain inside information with regard to such activities, may obtain a financial interest or benefit, direct or indirect, from a Measure J funded/assisted activity, either for themselves or those with whom they have business or immediate family ties, during their tenure or for one year thereafter.

DEBARRED, SUSPENDED & INELIGIBLE CONTRACTORS / SUBRECIPIENTS

Measure J Project Funds cannot be provided to debarred, suspended or ineligible contractors, subcontractors or other recipients.

NON-DISCRIMINATION

The applicant shall comply with all laws concerning non-discrimination in admission or access to, or treatment or employment in, its assisted programs and activities and project.

SUBMISSION PROCEDURES AND DEADLINE

Applicants are required to submit one originally signed Request for Measure J Revenue Project Funding package with Exhibits and three (3) three-hole punched double-sided photocopies of the unbounded package including Exhibits A through XXXX on white 8.5" x 11" paper. All package submissions must be received no later than 3:00 P.M. (local time) on THURSDAY, XXXXXX, 2012.

WORKING DRAFT Apps Ad Hoc Committee August 8, 2012 Revision 5

in the Office of the City Clerk located at Palm Springs City Hall, 3200 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way, Palm Springs, CA 92263.

The receiving time in the Office of the City Clerk will be the governing time for acceptability of request packages. Telegraphic, telephonic or any other electronic transmission of proposals will not be accepted. It is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure any proposal sent through the mail, or by any other method, shall have sufficient time to be received by the City Clerk prior to the deadline. Late proposals will be returned to the proposer unopened, no exceptions. Proposals must be complete when submitted. Incomplete proposals will not be reviewed for funding. No changes of information or additional information will be accepted after the designated deadline date, unless requested by the Measure J Commission for clarification purposes.

For submittals being mailed, it is highly recommended to send them registered or certified mail (return receipt requested) and must be *received* by or before 3pm, PST, Thursday, XXXXXXXXXXXX, 2012. Applications will not be accepted after this deadline, regardless of postmarked date.

The City reserves the right to reject any and all submittals and to accept the submittals that in it's judgment will be in the best interest of the City & the residents. Acceptance of an application does not obligate the City to fund



Measure J Revenue Project Funding Request Summary

Applicant Information: Check one

Individual Submission

Full Name:

Mailing Address in Palm Springs:

Telephone number:

e-Mail address:

Business Submission

Name of Business:

Business Contact Person/Title:

Business Address:

Business e-Mail Address:

Business Telephone Number:

Fax number

Business Website:

Organization

Legal Name of Organization:

Type of Organization:

IRS 501c (3) Tax ID Number

Other (Describe):

Date Organization Founded

Organization Address:

Organization e-Mail Address:

Organization Website

Organization Contact Person/Title:

Organization Telephone Number:

Fax number

Measure J Revenue Project Funding Request Summary Proposal (continued)

Measure J Project Funding Request is considered to be in the following category/categories (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Enhanced Quality of Life | <input type="checkbox"/> Streets, traffic related, mass transit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Safety/Crime prevention | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-motorized transportation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sustainability/Green/Environmental | <input type="checkbox"/> Historical Sites |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhoods/Parks/Recreation | <input type="checkbox"/> Educational |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Arts – Library | <input type="checkbox"/> Legacy Project |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Seniors | <input type="checkbox"/> Non Profit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Youths | <input type="checkbox"/> Tourism |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Downtown Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

Proposal Title: _____

Purpose of the funding and objectives to be met (200 words or less):

Expected Outcome (200 words or less):

Measure J Revenue Project Funding Request Summary Proposal (continued)

Estimated Number of Palm Springs Citizens To Be Served: _____

Or/ Estimated Percent of Palm Springs Residents To Be Served: _____

Age(s) of Palm Springs Citizens To Be Served: _____

Palm Springs Neighborhood(s) To Be Served: _____

Group(s) To Be Served: _____

Measure J Revenue Project Funding Request Summary Proposal (continued)

Funding Information

Amount of Measure J Project Funding requested:

Measure J Funding Requested \$ _____

Applicant Provided (if any) \$ _____

Other funding (if any describe below): \$ _____

Program Income (if any describe below): \$ (_____)

TOTAL Measure J Funds requested \$ _____

Describe "Other funding" above: _____

Describe "Program Income" above: _____

Applicant Signature: _____

Applicant Name (Typed or printed) _____

Applicant Title (If for business or organization): _____

Date Signed: _____

EXHIBIT A: Project Need Statement. Limit 400 words. Provide a short background statement describing the intent of the proposal. Identify the community “problem” or “need” the individual, business or organization wishes to address and how Measure J funding would remedy or improve the problem. Include the following:

- A description of the primary beneficiaries (target population) of this proposal.
- Any significant collaboration with other organization(s) that will contribute to the success of this proposed project.
- A description of how and to what extent this project/activity is unduplicated in the community, meets the identified need(s) of the target population and is significantly different from any other efforts/projects/services elsewhere in the City of Palm Springs.

WORKING DRAFT Apps Ad Hoc Committee August 8, 2012 Revision 5

EXHIBIT B: Project Proposed Budget. Limit 400 words. Describe and provide justification for the amount of Measure J funding being requested. Include the following:

- If Measure J funds are needed to secure matching funds from another source describe and document below the sources' requirements and the amount of funds to be matched. Attach specific funding commitment letter(s).
- If personnel services are being proposed as part of this project funding please list the full name, title/position, function and legal residence of the person(s) and percentage of time to be supported with Measure J funds for this proposed project.
- If Measure J funds are not available to fund your request *in full*, what is the *minimum* amount of Measure J funds needed to enable your project to proceed? Could this project be funded incrementally?

EXHIBIT C: Organization Budget (Not applicable for individual or business submissions).
Provide a summary of the overall activities/programs and organization budget for your organization.
(Limit one page).

EXHIBIT D. Disclosure Of Interest. Provide the name(s) of the City employee, elected/appointed official or Commission/Committee member, and the name(s) of the organization's board member, officer, agent or consultant having financial interest or benefit, direct or indirect, in the organization or this proposed Measure J Funds funded project or activity, either for themselves or those with whom they have business or immediate family ties, during their tenure or for one year thereafter. Describe the nature of the conflict of interest.



WORKING DRAFT Apps Ad Hoc Committee August 8, 2012 Revision 5

REQUEST EVALUATION CRITERIA

To Be Used by the Measure J Commission in Evaluating This Revenue Project Funding Request

INDIVIDUAL/BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIONNAME: _____

Date received: _____

Amount of Request: _____	Max/Min \$: _____	Start/End Dates: _____		
# To Be Benefited: _____	Cost/Beneficiary: _____	Age(s) To Be Benefited: _____		
Group(s) To Be Benefited: _____	% of PS Residents Benefited: _____			
Neighborhood(s) To Be Benefited: _____				
CRITERIA ELEMENT (POINT VALUE)	No to Marginal (0)	Somewhat (1-2)	Yes (3-4)	Exceptional (5)
Is the total proposal package complete?				
COMMENTS:				
Does this project/program/service meet the requirements of the voter approved uses for Measure J Funds?				
COMMENTS:				
Does this project/program/service improve the health and safety of our citizens and visitors?				
COMMENTS:				
Does this project/program/service benefit the majority of the citizens of Palm Springs				
COMMENTS:				
Does this project/program/service make Palm Springs a better place to live and work and visit?				
COMMENTS:				
Does this project promote the growth of tourism in Palm Springs?				
COMMENTS:				
Does this project contribute to the revitalization of downtown Palm Springs?				
COMMENTS:				
Does this project/program/service improve the beauty of the City of Palm Springs?				
COMMENTS:				
Does this project/program/service provide legacy value to the City of Palm Springs?				
COMMENTS:				
Will these Measure J Funds be matched with funds from other sources?				
COMMENTS:				
SUB-TOTAL				
GRAND TOTAL				

WORKING DRAFT Apps Ad Hoc Committee August 8, 2012 Revision 5

Measure J Lead Reviewer Name/Signature: _____

Date: _____

MEASURE J COMMISSION

August 10, 2012

TO: MEASURE J COMMISSIONERS
FROM: Ad Hoc Committee on Goals, Process, Timeline and Budget
(Commissioners Marshall, Moon, Towner, and Vogel)

Background information:

With the unavailability of Commissioner Williams during this period, and the varied vacation schedules of the remaining three commissioners, Robert Moon, Commissioner and Measure J Chairman was asked to join the committee in order to ensure that at least three commissioners would be at each committee meeting. The committee met twice to address the topics of Goals, Process, Timeline and Budget. Through our discussion it became quite evident that we will need recommendations and thoughts of the various ad hoc committees before defining complete recommendations.

THE SUBJECTS COVERED BY COMMITTEE DISCUSSIONS WERE: BUDGET, PROCESS, TIMELINE, AND GOALS:

BUDGET:

In order to focus our conversation the committee agreed to work exclusively with the first year Measure J budget of \$4.7 million as given to it by the City Finance Director.

The committee decided to discuss the \$ 4.7 million first year budget in terms of the sources of projects, which have been or may be presented to the Commission for consideration. The committee identified three “general sources” of such projects and defined them as follows:

GENERAL SOURCES OF PROJECTS:

- 1) NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS
- 2) COMMERCIAL/BUSINESS/ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROUPS
- 3) CITY PROJECTS – STREETS AND CAPITAL PROJECTS

The committee decided to call these three general sources “budget buckets”. In addition, the committee decided to identify a fourth “bucket” and to name it CONTINGENCY/RESERVE. That bucket would be used for unexpected projects or unforeseen/ emergency expenses that might arise during the first year budget period.

Having structured these four budget areas the committee then proceeded into a discussion of how to allocate first year funds between these buckets. This conversation led to a discussion of the different processes relating to these buckets.

PROCESS:

During its first year, the Measure J Commission is dealing with TWO DIFFERENT PROCESSES with respect to its consideration of and recommendation of funding for projects within the general categories as described above in the budget section.

The first process relates to those projects identified as coming from the sources called, NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS and COMMERCIAL/BUSINESS/ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROUPS. That process needs to include decisions and implementation plans by the Measure J Commission regarding the following steps:

- 1) The creation and approval of appropriate application forms and deadline for submission.
- 2) A period and process of solicitation and communication with all community and business organizations in order to adequately inform all constituencies of the availability of Measure J funds.
- 3) The allocation and passage of sufficient time for the completion of the application process and submission by the deadline to the Measure J Commission.
- 4) Development of the process of review for applications submitted and the implementation of such a review process and period.
- 5) Development of the process for making final recommendations from the Measure J Commission to the City Council and the implementation of such final process and review.

The second process relates to the projects identified above as CITY PROJECTS- STREETS AND CAPITAL PROJECTS. Those projects have already been submitted to the Measure J Commission. At the present time those projects are already under first review by a separate Measure J ad hoc committee. This committee expects that the commission will receive a more specific analysis and report on those projects during the August meeting.

TIMELINE:

By the very nature of the discussion of the two different processes as listed above, the committee proceeded to recognize that there are also two different timelines which it is addressing during the first year.

Obviously, the timeline for the solicitation, application, review, and decision making regarding the NEIGHBORHOOD and BUSINESS proposed projects is different from the review and recommendation of CITY-STREETS and CAPITAL PROJECTS.

The committee discussed possible scenarios for scheduling of both timelines.

Regarding the NEIGHBORHOOD AND BUSINESS projects the committee discussed the likelihood of a timeline that allowed for the solicitation and communication with the community as well as the filing of all applications with a deadline for filing of no later than the end of February 2013.

Thereafter the committee allowed for a review and decision making period of no less than 90 days which brought the estimated time to make recommendations to the City Council as no later May 2013.

Regarding the CITY-STREETS and CAPITAL PROJECTS proposals the committee discussed a continued period of review with a goal for a commission decision by its October 2012 meeting so that a recommendation can be made to the City Council in November 2012.

GOALS:

The committee agreed that the commission's most important goals in the short term are to communicate effectively with the community-at-large as to when and how they can participate in the allocation of Measure J funds, and to make decisions regarding existing proposals that will immediately serve to improve the quality of life for all Palm Springs residents and visitors and to make positive use of Measure J funds. Specifically:

- 1) Implement communication with the community as to our process of taking in and reviewing applications for consideration of the use of Measure J funds.
- 2) Finalize an application and set specific deadlines for submission and review.
- 3) Make a recommendation as to CITY-STREET and CAPITAL PROJECTS to the City Council so that work on these projects can begin as soon as possible.

CONCLUSION:

During the discussion regarding process and timeline it became evident to the committee that the first year of Measure J Fund Allocation will most likely be different from subsequent years.

After the new processes are put in place for solicitation, review and decision regarding NEIGHBORHOOD AND BUSINESS projects it will be easier in subsequent years to make an allocation for the funds between the various "budget buckets" as designated above in the section under BUDGET. However, in this first year, it seems unlikely to the committee that any of the NEIGHBORHOOD OR BUSINESS submitted projects would be decided upon by the commission for allocation of first year Measure J budget funds. Therefore, the committee recommends moving forward on CITY-STREET and CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT projects while simultaneously interacting as quickly as possible with the community-at-large.

Communications Ad Hoc Committee Report

(Commissioners Bloomer, Hammond, Williams and Chairman Moon)

August 9, 2012

The focus of the ad hoc communications group is generating ideas and collecting information on them. The ideas keep coming. This list is a list of ideas. Count on it, since creating this document, we have come up with more ideas and added to the list! We fully expect even more ideas from YOU with which we will create more lists! Just because something is listed here does not mean it is carved in stone but may be a jumping off point for another spectacular idea! We want your ideas!

Committee objectives

1. Identify methods for creating public awareness about what Measure J funds are available for, how to apply for funds and how to get information updates.
2. Identify methods for gaining input from as many residents, groups of people as possible.
3. Identify a simple communication tool to physically share with as many people as we can.
4. Identify talking points (elevator speech) that any commissioner can use when communicating with any individual and/or group about Measure J.
5. Plan the logistics for Town Hall type meetings with the public.

1. Identify methods for creating public awareness about what Measure J funds are available for, how to apply for funds and how to get information updates.

- Website

City has created psmeasurej.com which is a separate site from the city web site. We need to work through Amy and with the Marketing Hub people to update and make changes to the website.

Amy likes the idea of putting a headshot and short bio of each of the commissioners on the website.

Amy also wants to provide an e-mail address for each commissioner, ie Kristin.Bloomer@palmspringsca.gov. This would allow people to e-mail commissioners they know with suggestions. For general suggestions from the public she recommended one called psmeasurejchair@palmspringsca.gov.

- Social Media Sites

The commission will work with Amy at City Hall to update the already established City social media sites to include Facebook and Instagram. Each social media site will direct viewers to the website for more information.

The City is working on a city Facebook page. It is in development and is not public yet. The Council has to see it and approve it.

- Printed Media

Collaborate with Amy at City Hall to create press releases, Desert Sun Articles, Valley Voice article. Amy will assist the commission with getting info where it needs to go.

PS Disposal invoice inserts: Commission with Amy can develop informational insert. We will coordinate ours with those from the Sustainability office.

Info in PS Disposal newsletter: Yes, contributions to the newsletter will go thru Amy.

Business cards for each commissioner with advertising for our website and social media. On the front side and on the back of each card something that is unique to Measure J, Amy is looking into this already.

- Community Presence

Commissioners provide update to the groups they attend; commissioners identified function as liaison to other groups to give and receive info; when commission identifies community partners, we can also assign a commissioner as liaison to that partnership.

Booth at Farmers Market on Saturdays

Booth at PSNIC Picnic

Attend Fire Department Fish Fry

Attend Chamber Mixers

Embroidered logo for polo shirts, t-shirts to use at public events- Mayor's Run etc....

Commission can post notices on school district web site. We can ask elementary principals to post info in their school newsletters that go out to parents and some schools have PTA newsletters.

Lee Bono is happy to add a paragraph provided by MJC to the weekly ONI Update emailed to neighbors.

Amy recommends we keep a tight control on who talks to what organizations. She recommended that any requests for someone from Measure J to speak to a group go through her or through the chairman.

2. Identify methods for gaining input from as many residents, groups of people as possible.

- Website
- Work with an expert to build the site so when people get to it, there will be things on the site to hold peoples interest. Perhaps a video clip that is periodically updated? Post photos, links to Instagram and

Facebook sites. Establish a question that would appear on Facebook, the website and in the Desert Sun. Directs residents to the website for response where they will also have access to information.

- Place online surveys on the website about hot topics regarding the Measure J funds.
- Attend PS business and public service group meetings to give and receive info. Speak at those meetings commissioners belong to and attend.
- Hold Town Hall style meetings to hear from the public.
- Commissioners participate in parades held in Palm Springs.

3. Identify a simple communication tool to physically share with as many people as we can.

- Our main tool is going to be the website and we will use the types of communication discussed above to drive people to the website. The website will have the most up to date information to include approved, current and completed projects that Measure J funds are being utilized.
- Business card as a tool to drive people to the website and Facebook.

4. Identify talking points (elevator speech) that any commissioner can use when communicating with any individual and/or group about Measure J.

- Provide commissioners with talking points, which can be used like an elevator speech, for use when networking in the community.
- Write a 30 second elevator speech that the commissioners can use when networking in the community.
- We would like to create a power point presentation for the commissioners to use at any formal presentations given to the public, so each commissioner will be presenting the same and consistent information. The presentation will include talking points that will answer the following questions:
 - Why the Measure J Oversight Commission was formed? Commission goals.
 - How can a community member give ideas to the commission?
 - Status updates...List of all projects to date
 - Where to find the application for funds?
- We will create an FAQ section on the website as we start receiving the same questions from the community.

MEASURE J COMMISSION

TO: Measure J Commissioners **DATE:** August 8, 2012

FROM: Ad Hoc Committee on Public Works & Capital Improvements
(Commissioners Dada, Marshall, Vogel & Watts)

SUBJECT: Ad Hoc Committee Recommendation on Future Project Funding

SPECIFIC REQUEST OR RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Measure J Commission propose to the City Council as soon as possible, budget allocations of \$3 million for Street Improvements and \$1.6 million for Deferred Capital Projects from anticipated Measure J Fiscal Year 2012-13 revenues, as further outlined in this report.

JUSTIFICATION OR INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The initial estimate of Measure J Fiscal Year 2012-13 revenues is \$8 million. The required annual debt service is \$3.2 million and approximately \$112,000 is needed for annual operating expenses for operations and maintenance of the Downtown Parking Structure. Therefore, it's anticipated that approximately \$4.7 million in anticipated revenues is available for consideration in funding future projects in the current fiscal year ending June 30, 2013.

The Measure J Commission meeting materials for July 19, 2012 included City staff budget information for long-neglected street repairs and deferred capital projects in the City of Palm Springs. At that same meeting, the Commission created four ad hoc committees to discuss specific topics of interest, including streets and deferred capital projects.

The Ad Hoc Committee on Public Works & Capital Improvements (Dada, Marshall, Vogel & Watts) has met on three occasions to discuss this topic and to formulate recommendations for consideration by the Commission. One of those meetings included participation by City staff members including City Manager David Ready, Assistant City Manager Tom Wilson, Finance Director Geoffrey Kiehl and Director of Public Works/City Engineer Dave Barakian.

The ad hoc committee members have reviewed the previously prepared lists of streets and deferred capital projects, clarified the specific nature of the projects, and discussed the possible priority of individual projects. Given the technical expertise required in the field of capital improvement projects, the committee has opted to rely significantly on staff's prior research and input in these two areas.

Measure J – Ad Hoc Committee on Streets & Deferred Capital Projects

JUSTIFICATION OR INFORMATION CONTINUED:

During the ad hoc committee's discussions, we reminded ourselves of the fact that current estimates to complete ALL previously identified long-term repairs and/or upgrades to City streets are in excess of \$80 million, plus an additional \$7.3 million would be needed to address the initial list of deferred capital projects provided by City staff. In comparison, the City's current estimate of funds available in its regular annual budget for these types of projects is only about \$800,000 each year for the foreseeable future.

During the Measure J election campaign, heavy emphasis was given to the type of capital improvement projects under consideration (see attached information from the Fall 2011 "Inside City Hall Newsletter"). In addition, focusing initial Measure J funding on these two areas would quickly address a wide cross-section of community interests (i.e. streets, parks & recreation, community amenities/beautification, public safety and helping to create a more "business friendly" environment).

In light of the fact that collection of the additional 1% sales tax began over four months ago in April 2012, some level of "positive movement" should be initiated by the Commission as soon as possible on delivery of Measure J funded projects to the residents, visitors and businesses paying the sales tax. The Commission should avail itself of the prior research and analysis used in compiling the two lists of suggested street improvements and deferred capital projects to accelerate the implementation of much-needed community improvements.

A considerable length of time is anticipated to complete the anticipated community outreach process to identify other possible projects, and to both develop evaluation materials and implement whatever "rating process" is eventually adopted by the Commission. As a result, the delay between the start of the tax collections and future selection and implementation of other, as yet unidentified, projects could well exceed a year or more.

For sake of discussion, the ad hoc committee has assumed that potential projects to be identified through the more lengthy community outreach and evaluation process would take advantage of funding that will become available with the start of the next fiscal year beginning July 1, 2013. This would allow for the recommended streets and deferred capital projects to begin as soon as City Council approval and public bidding processes are completed (tempered by scheduling considerations to avoid any major disruptions to roadways during the height of tourist season).

AD HOC COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Balancing the various issues at hand, the Ad Hoc Committee respectfully submits the following recommendation for adoption by the Measure J Commission, and its transmittal to the City Council for its consideration.

Budget allocations of \$3 million for Street Improvements and \$1.6 million for Deferred Capital Projects should be authorized from anticipated Measure J Fiscal Year 2012-13 revenues as further detailed below.

JUSTIFICATION OR INFORMATION CONTINUED:

FY 2012-13 STREET UPGRADES (see attached for project details)

a.	Street Reconstruction (467,150 sq. ft. of pavement)	\$ 1,400,000
b.	Street Overlays (1,116,787 sq. ft. of pavement)	1,400,000
c.	Street Slurry Seals (1,923,482 sq. ft. of pavement)	<u>500,000</u>
		\$ 3,300,000
	Less Assumed Efficiency Offset for Bidding Larger Project	<u><300,000></u>
	Total	\$ 3,000,000

FY 2012-13 DEFERRED CAPITAL PROJECTS (see attached for project details)

a.	Public Parks, Recreation & Community Amenities	\$ 875,000 (rounded)
b.	Traffic & Community Infrastructure	<u>725,000</u>
	Total Deferred Capital Projects for Fiscal Year 2012-13	\$1,600,000

TOTAL **\$4,600,000**

There is an additional assumed annual cost of approximately \$112,000 for annual operation and maintenance of the Downtown Parking Structure.

SUMMARY JUSTIFICATION FOR AD HOC COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) During the election informational campaign, street improvements and deferred capital projects were highlighted as a primary target for the future use of Measure J funds. They address a wide variety of community concerns.
- 2) By their very nature, street improvement projects cover a wide geographic dispersion across the City. Upon completion, they positively impact large numbers of residents, visitors and local businesses on a daily basis.
- 3) Extensive research has already been completed by City staff for these projects allowing for much faster project implementation compared to projects which have yet to be identified and researched.
- 4) An immediate proposal to the City Council based on this recommendation would allow for action to be taken as early as September 2012 paving the way (no pun intended) to potentially bid and schedule numerous projects before the end of 2012. This schedule could be adjusted to avoid any major disruptions to roadways during the height of tourist season.
- 5) With this set of projects under way, the Commission can both widely promote the start of significant Measure J improvements for the community, and also focus its attention on community

Measure J – Ad Hoc Committee on Streets & Deferred Capital Projects

JUSTIFICATION OR INFORMATION CONTINUED:

outreach to solicit and then evaluate other, as yet unidentified, projects to be funded from the second full year of tax collections (i.e. Fiscal Year 2013/14 which begins July 1, 2013).

ATTACHMENTS:

- Excerpt from Fall 2011 *"Inside City Hall Newsletter"*
- Street Improvements Budget (\$3.3 million)
- Summary of Deferred Capital Projects and Recommended FY 2012-13 Projects

Projects and Programs Eligible for Potential Measure J Funding Could Include:

- Increasing pothole repair
- Paving residential streets
- Renovating major thoroughfares
- Implementing neighborhood traffic calming
- Completing a city-wide bike lane system
- Revitalizing the Desert Fashion Plaza
- Upgrade traffic signal synchronization
- Improving City parks
- Reopening the Wetwood Library in downtown
- Upgrades and renovations to the Palm Springs Public Library
- Developing new soccer and softball facilities
- Upgrading the Swim Center and Skate Park
- Upgrading recreational facilities
- Initiating solar energy programs
- Initiating water conservation projects
- Improving public safety
- Upgrading and improving downtown and uptown parking
- Providing downtown and uptown public restrooms
- Acquiring Open Space
- Upgrading and extending the City's trail system
- Building the Tahquitz Creek Interpretive Park
- Enhancing Historic Preservation
- Enhancing city-wide economic development

Measure J does not tax:

- Food and groceries
- Prescription medications
- Admission charges to theaters, amusements parks, sporting events and golf courses

Measure J is not a tax on property owners.

The
The
pol
Cot
me
rev
Her

\$ 3 MILLION

PCI Range	Rehab Type	Pavement SF	Cost Per Unit	Sub-Total
51-59	Reconstruction	467,150	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,401,450
60-79	Overlay	1,116,787	\$ 1.26	\$ 1,407,152
80-99	Slurry	1,923,482	\$ 0.26	\$ 500,105
3.3 M BUDGET		3,507,419		Total Cost: \$ 3,308,707

Name	Section ID	From	To	Length	Width	True Area	Area Units	PCI
SUNNY JUNES ROAD	2344040	650' E OF BELARDO DRIVE	S PALM CANYON DRIVE	255	46	11,730.00	SqFt	51
CAMINO PAROCOLA	2444085	CANON DRIVE	EL CIELO ROAD	241	34	8,194.00	SqFt	51
AMADO ROAD	1444050	INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	CALLE ENCILIA	483	61	29,463.00	SqFt	52
EAST PALM CANYON DR - N FRONTAGE RD	2444170	ANDEE DRIVE	294' W OF ANDEE DRIVE	294	30	8,820.00	SqFt	52
CAMINO NORTE	1044235	STEVENS ROAD	VIA MONTE VISTA	782	20	16,362.00	SqFt	53
CAMINO CENTRO	1044295	CAMINO SUR	VIA LAS PALMAS	567	30	17,010.00	SqFt	53
CAMINO CENTRO	1044305	N VIA LAS PALMAS	VEREDA NORTE	270	24	6,480.00	SqFt	53
CAMINO CENTRO	1044310	VEREDA NORTE	CAMINO NORTE	270	26	7,020.00	SqFt	53
CALLE ENCILIA	1444110	ALEJO ROAD	AMADO ROAD	1,255.00	32	46,548.00	SqFt	53
CACTUS DRIVE	2344280	MANZANITA AVENUE	W END	665	25	19,170.00	SqFt	54
CAMINO MONTE VISTA	1044035	PALM CANYON DRIVE	INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	295	46	13,570.00	SqFt	55
CERES ROAD	1044115	HIGH ROAD	ROSE AVENUE	340	33	11,220.00	SqFt	55
VIA VADERA	1044215	ROSE AVENUE	W END	470	32	15,040.00	SqFt	55
CAMINO MIRASOL	1044290	VIA LAS PALMAS	CAMINO SUR	807	31	27,720.00	SqFt	55
MISSION ROAD	1044355	CAMINO SUR	VIA LOLA	278	32	8,896.00	SqFt	55
HERMOSA PLACE	1044415	MISSION ROAD	N PALM CANYON DRIVE	1,415.00	22	34,281.00	SqFt	55
TAMARISK ROAD	1244155	JUANITA ROAD	FARRELL DRIVE	292	36	10,512.00	SqFt	55
PAVILION WAY	1344095	BARISTO ROAD	S END	964	37	35,668.00	SqFt	55
RANCHERO ROAD	1344115	COMPADRE ROAD	E END	648	37	26,505.00	SqFt	55
CALLE EL SEGUNDO	1444090	RAMON ROAD	SATURNING ROAD	924	49	45,276.00	SqFt	55
MESQUITE AVENUE	2244010	S PALM CANYON DRIVE	160' WEST OF S PALM CANYON DRIVE	160	50	8,000.00	SqFt	55
CAMINO PAROCOLA	2344455	GRENFAHLL ROAD	WARM SANDS DRIVE	278	33	9,174.00	SqFt	55
AVENIDA PALMERA	2344610	VIA SOLEDAD	S PALM CANYON DRIVE	431	35	12,609.00	SqFt	55
LADERA CIRCLE	1044200	VIA LAS PALMAS	N END	129	33	6,426.00	SqFt	57
VIA SOL	1044270	WAWONA ROAD	VIA NORTE	983	32	31,456.00	SqFt	57
							467,150.00	Avg PCI

SLAM FOR ROAD OVERLAY - PCI RANGE 60-79 (\$ 3M BUDGET)

Name	Section ID	From	To	Length	Width	True Area	Area Units	PCI
ZANJERO ROAD			SAN CARLOS ROAD	594	21	12,474.00	Sqft	60
TUSCAN ROAD		344320 DOMINGUEZ ROAD	VIA OLIVERA	655	27	17,685.00	Sqft	60
TUSCAN ROAD		344325 VIA OLIVERA	S END	181	22	3,982.00	Sqft	60
INDIAN CANYON DRIVE		1344045 ALEJO ROAD	GRANADA VILLARONTE	542	58	36,267.00	Sqft	60
SATURMINO ROAD		1344305 AMADO ROAD	DESERT PALMS	638	35	22,059.00	Sqft	60
AMADO ROAD		1444065 AVENIDA CABALLEROS	600' E. AVENIDA CABALLEROS	600	46	27,600.00	Sqft	60
CALLE ENCILIA		1444120 TAHQUITZ CANYON WAY	ARENAS ROAD	620	60	37,200.00	Sqft	60
ANDREAS ROAD		1444210 HERMOSA DRIVE	540' EAST OF HERMOSA DRIVE	540	60	32,400.00	Sqft	60
ARENAS ROAD		1444255 650' W OF AVE CABALLEROS	HERMOSA DRIVE	650	30	19,500.00	Sqft	60
W. 1/2 INDIAN CANYON DRIVE		1534045 19TH AVENUE	NORTH CITY LIMITS	2,870.00	20	57,400.00	Sqft	60
GARNET AVENUE		2234005 INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	200' W OF INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	200	64	12,800.00	Sqft	60
CALLE DE MARIA		2344310 DEEP WELL ROAD	MESQUITE AVENUE	1,826.00	25	45,650.00	Sqft	60
AVENIDA OLANCHA		2344620 VIA SALIDA	E END	405	33	13,365.00	Sqft	60
SONORA ROAD		2444095 EL CIELO ROAD	FARRELL DRIVE	2,595.00	36	93,420.00	Sqft	60
BEVERLY DRIVE		2444210 ALTA LOMA DRIVE	SONORA ROAD	661	36	24,516.00	Sqft	60
SEQUOIA PLACE		2644145 YOSEMITE DRIVE	ALHAMBRA DRIVE	479	36	16,848.00	Sqft	60
VISTA CHINO		745086 330' WEST OF EAST CITY LIMITS	EAST CITY LIMITS	330	65	21,450.00	Sqft	61
STEVENS ROAD		1044090 N INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	N PALM CANYON DRIVE	293	20	5,860.00	Sqft	61
ROSE AVENUE		1044105 STEVENS ROAD	CRESCENT DRIVE	3,334.00	33	111,825.00	Sqft	61
CERRITOS DRIVE		1244175 TACHEVAH DRIVE	SANDLEWOOD DRIVE	1,813.00	19	34,447.00	Sqft	61
CAHUILLA ROAD		1544070 BARISSO ROAD	RAMON ROAD	1,273.00	22	28,006.00	Sqft	61
BARISSO ROAD		1544075 INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	BELARDO ROAD	675	54	36,450.00	Sqft	61
BARISSO ROAD		1544080 BELARDO ROAD	CAHUILLA ROAD	322	39	12,558.00	Sqft	61
VIA SALIDA		2344175 SONORA ROAD	E PALM CANYON DRIVE	1,275.00	36	46,350.00	Sqft	61
VISTA ORO		2344530 CAMINO PAROCCELA	SUNNY DUNES ROAD	623	32	19,996.00	Sqft	61
INDIAN TRAIL		2344555 CAMINO REAL	SONORA ROAD	1,061.00	33	28,305.00	Sqft	61
AVENIDA ORTEGA		2344640 VIA ENTRADA	VIA SALIDA	263	33	8,679.00	Sqft	61
SOUTH PALM CANYON DRIVE		2344662 MORONGO ROAD	EAST PALM CANYON DRIVE	2,060.00	60	123,600.00	Sqft	61
ROCK CIRCLE		244050 ALEXANDER WAY	N END	144	33	6,867.00	Sqft	62
TRAIL CIRCLE		244055 ALEXANDER WAY	N END	144	33	6,867.00	Sqft	62
LUNA CIRCLE		244115 AURORA DRIVE	W END	164	32	7,623.00	Sqft	62
AURORA DRIVE		244195 RACQUET CLUB ROAD	VIA ESCUELA DRIVE	1,248.00	36	44,928.00	Sqft	62
GEORGE DRIVE		244200 VIA ESCUELA	N END	407	32	15,129.00	Sqft	62
ROBERTO DRIVE		244205 VIA ESCUELA	N END	406	32	15,012.00	Sqft	62
BERNE DRIVE		244225 VIA ESCUELA	N END	408	32	15,075.00	Sqft	62
ZANJERO ROAD		344090 SAN CARLOS ROAD	YORBA ROAD	297	27	8,019.00	Sqft	62
LA PUERTA DEL SOL		344130 SANTA CATALINA	SAN CARLOS ROAD	431	20	8,620.00	Sqft	62
VIA OLIVERA		344255 INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	421' W INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	421	36	15,156.00	Sqft	62
CABRILLO ROAD		344365 CARDILLO AVENUE	JUNIPERO AVENUE	294	19	5,586.00	Sqft	62
RACQUET CLUB ROAD		344455 PALM CANYON DRIVE	JUNIPERO AVENUE	316	47	14,553.00	Sqft	62
VIA VADERA		1044210 ABRIGO ROAD	ROSE AVENUE	250	32	8,000.00	Sqft	62
						1,116,787.00	Sqft	61
								Avg PCI

\$500K FOR ROAD SLURRY - PCI RANGE 80-99 (3.3M BUDGET)

Name	Section ID	From	To	Length	Width	True Area	Area Lights	PCI
WHITEWATER CLUB DRIVE	144155	VERONA ROAD	VIA ESCUELA	1,253.00	32	40,416.00	SqFt	80
WHITEWATER CLUB DRIVE	144160	VIA ESCUELA	VISTA CHINO	1,271.00	32	40,672.00	SqFt	80
VENETIA ROAD	144180	VIMINAL ROAD	VOLTURNO ROAD	925	24	22,200.00	SqFt	80
VENTURA ROAD	144190	VIMINAL ROAD	VOLTURNO ROAD	924	24	22,176.00	SqFt	80
VINCENTIA ROAD	144225	VOLTURNO ROAD	VIMINAL ROAD	937	24	22,488.00	SqFt	80
VIMINAL ROAD	144230	VINCENTIA ROAD	VIA ESCUELA	989	24	23,736.00	SqFt	80
VIMINAL ROAD	144235	VIA ESCUELA	SAN JUAN ROAD	1,014.00	24	24,336.00	SqFt	80
WEST ACACIA ROAD	144315	MAGNOLIA ROAD	VIA ESCUELA	620	24	14,880.00	SqFt	80
WEST ACACIA ROAD	144320	VIA ESCUELA	ROCHELLE ROAD	995	24	23,880.00	SqFt	80
WEST NICOLA ROAD	144360	DEBORAH ROAD	VIA ESCUELA	625	24	15,000.00	SqFt	80
VIA NEGOCIO	144435	VISTA CHINO	SUNRISE WAY	598	37	22,126.00	SqFt	80
VIA ESCUELA	144440	SUNRISE WAY	FARRELL DRIVE	2,609.00	32	83,488.00	SqFt	80
VIA ESCUELA	144445	FARRELL DRIVE	130' E OF WHITEWATER	2,633.00	32	84,256.00	SqFt	80
ANDREAS HILLS DRIVE	154010	REDFORD DRIVE	BOGERT TRAIL	1,950.00	40	87,322.00	SqFt	80
ANDREAS HILLS DRIVE	154050	REDFORD DRIVE	BOGERT TRAIL	1,100.00	40	44,362.00	SqFt	80
LOS FELICES ROAD	344140	YORBA ROAD	N END	421	32	13,472.00	SqFt	80
VIA LIVORNO	344275	VISTA DRIVE	PALERMO DRIVE	522	23	12,006.00	SqFt	80
LEONARD ROAD	344315	VIA OLIVERA	S END	527	21	11,067.00	SqFt	80
GIRASOL COURT	344390	GIRASOL AVENUE	W END	236	28	8,334.00	SqFt	80
CIELO DRIVE	344450	PANORAMA ROAD	W END	970	18	17,460.00	SqFt	80
VIA ESCUELA	645040	GENE AUTRY TRAIL	EKEXECUTIVE DRIVE	330	36	11,880.00	SqFt	80
BIRD CENTER LANE	745100	TACHEVAH DRIVE	N END	898	48	43,104.00	SqFt	80
REGAL DRIVE	1044120	ROSE AVENUE	VIA MONTE VISTA	1,119.00	32	35,776.00	SqFt	80
CAMINO CENTRO	1044300	N VIA LAS PALMAS	S VIA LAS PALMAS	400	25	8,696.00	SqFt	80
CAMINO DEL CORTO	1044345	VERADA SUR	VIA LOLA	621	31	19,235.00	SqFt	80
MERITO PLACE	1044425	MISSION ROAD	PATENCIO ROAD	702	20	14,224.00	SqFt	80
JULIAN ROAD	1344140	RJANITA ROAD	FARRELL DRIVE	242	25	6,220.00	SqFt	80
DESERT PALMS DRIVE	1344280	SATURMINO DRIVE	SUNRISE WAY	406	36	14,630.00	SqFt	80
AVENIDA CABALLEROS	1444040	RAMON ROAD	TAHQUITZ CANYON WAY	2,565.00	60	147,600.00	SqFt	80
AMADO ROAD	1444056	CALLE ENCILLA	CALLE EL SEGUNDO	575	60	34,500.00	SqFt	80
ANDREAS ROAD	1444180	INDIAN CANYON DRIVE	CALLE ENCILLA	490	48	25,461.00	SqFt	80
ANDREAS ROAD	1444185	CALLE ENCILLA	CALLE EL SEGUNDO	512	60	30,720.00	SqFt	80
ANDREAS ROAD	1444190	CALLE EL SEGUNDO	CALLE ALVARADO	705	23	16,215.00	SqFt	80
19TH AVENUE	1534001	500' WEST OF INDIAN AVENUE	WEST END	2,073.00	30	62,190.00	SqFt	80
SANTA ROSA DRIVE	1544140	MONTE VISTA DRIVE	PATENCIO ROAD	446	24	11,420.00	SqFt	80
CROSSLEY ROAD	2045005	34TH AVENUE	DINAH SHORE DRIVE	2,775.00	52	154,892.00	SqFt	80
RAMON ROAD	2045100	GENE AUTRY ROAD	SAN LUIS REY DRIVE	1,277.00	80	101,896.00	SqFt	80
RUSH STREET	2045120	34TH AVENUE	625' N OF 34TH AVENUE	625	36	22,572.00	SqFt	80
MESQUITE AVENUE	2244001	PARK VIEW LANE	WEST END	645	28	18,060.00	SqFt	80
CERRITOS ROAD	2444110	MESQUITE AVENUE	E PALM CANYON DRIVE	2,554.00	37	94,860.00	SqFt	80

\$500K FOR ROAD SLURRY - PCI RANGE 80-99 (3.3M BUDGET)

Name	Section ID	From	To	Length	Width	True Area	Area Units	PCI
COMPADRE ROAD	2444200	SONORA ROAD	MESQUITE AVENUE	1,343.00		49,428.00	SqFt	80
BARONA ROAD	2544010	340' S OF E PALM CANYON DRIVE	MORONGO TRAIL	970		29,100.00	SqFt	80
BARONA ROAD	2544020	JACARANDA ROAD	S END	830		25,730.00	SqFt	80
CONCHO LANE	2544030	JACARANDA ROAD	N END	166		7,956.00	SqFt	80
ARABY CIRCLE	2544040	ARABY DRIVE	E END	405		15,516.00	SqFt	80
WEST PALM CANYON DRIVE	2644250	S PALM CANYON DRIVE	E PALM CANYON DRIVE	524		32,436.00	SqFt	80
AQUANETTA DRIVE	2644335	TWIN PALMS DRIVE	LA JOLLA ROAD	695		16,866.00	SqFt	80
VIA AGULIA	2644360	LA JOLLA ROAD	TWIN PALMS DRIVE	490		14,114.00	SqFt	80
RIDGE ROAD	2744050	EL CAMINO WAY	W END	1,033.00		23,625.00	SqFt	80
CAMINO MONTE	2744110	N END	S END	530		10,070.00	SqFt	80
MARGUERITE STREET	2945025	34TH AVENUE	MARTHA STREET	1,280.00		39,742.00	SqFt	80
LAWRENCE STREET	2945035	MARTHA STREET	34TH AVENUE	1,280.00		39,742.00	SqFt	80
BOB O' LINK LANE	2945065	BRENTWOOD DRIVE	CHERRY HILLS DRIVE	2,190.00		70,102.00	SqFt	80
CHEROKEE WAY	3045045	E PALM CANYON DRIVE	MATTHEW DRIVE	930		41,427.00	SqFt	80
						1,923,482.00	SqFt	80
								Avg PCI

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STREETS AND DEFERRED CITY CAPITAL PROJECTS

Public Parks, Recreation & Community Amenities

	<u>Estimated Completion</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>	<u>2012-2013 Recommendation</u>	<u>Deferred</u>
1) Pavilion Kitchen Equipment <i>Unserviceable-no availability of parts. Ovens/ranges unsafe.</i>	2 months	\$14,000	\$14,000	-
2) Replace Light Poles/Fixtures <i>Safety-Desert Highland Park ball field missing lights/insufficient for night games.</i>	2.5 months	79,258	79,258	-
3) Repair Swim Center Deck <i>Trip/safety hazard, re-bar through concrete. High visibility project.</i>	3 months	160,887	160,887	-
4) Security Lighting for Ruth Hardy Park <i>Night lighting currently exists only at tennis and basketball courts.</i>	3 months	50,000	50,000	-
5) Desert Highland Small Parking Lot <i>Deteriorated to almost gravel - presents ADA issues and safety hazard.</i>	3 months	39,511	39,511	-
6) Dog Park Improvements <i>Fix drainage problems and repair fence damage.</i>	3 months	10,000	10,000	-
7) Sidewalk Replacement in Parks Citywide <i>Current condition – broken, cracked, traps water, potential trip hazard.</i>	6 months	80,000	80,000	-
8) Demuth Park – Aluminum Wiring Replacement <i>Fields 1 through 4, all existing wiring has deteriorated.</i>	6 months	40,000	40,000	-
9) Demuth Community Center Gymnasium Floor <i>Floor has failed from usage & age – potentially hazardous condition.</i>	6 months	40,000	40,000	-
10) Sunrise Park Water Valves <i>Replace/isolate cold water valves to avoid all being shut off during servicing.</i>	8 months	50,000	50,000	-
11) Parking Lot Repairs – City Parks <i>DeMuth, Ruth Hardy, Desert Highland Parks: overlay & slurry seal.</i>	9 months	165,000	165,000	-
12) Stadium Fencing <i>Damaged fences need repair.</i>	18 months	20,000	20,000	-
13) Tree Replacement – Palm Canyon, Indian Canyon, Sunrise Park <i>Replace 20 palm trees damaged by vehicles, insects, wind and/or age.</i>	1 year	125,000	125,000	-
14) Pool – Service Driveway <i>Enhance service – not critical.</i>	3 months	16,000	-	\$16,000
15) A/C – JOJDHUC Gymnasium <i>Antiquated swamp cooling inadequate for Cooling Center/programs/events.</i>	6 months	175,000	-	175,000
16) Fence Repairs – Water Turtle/PS Baseball Stadium (covered by item 12)	-	-	-	-
Sub-Total		\$1,064,656	\$873,656	\$191,000

Traffic & Community Infrastructure

	<u>Estimated Completion</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>	<u>2012-2013 Recommendation</u>	<u>Deferred</u>
1) Village Green – Electric Meter Panel Replacement <i>Barely operative, existing panels.</i>	5 months	\$25,000	\$25,000	-
2) Downtown/Uptown Crosswalks (goes with \$40k funded by Measure A) <i>Correct vehicle and pedestrian safety issues.</i>	6 months	150,000	150,000	-
3) Light Poles – Raised Footings – Citywide <i>Estimated 35 lights – cost equal to replacing 5 damaged non-protected lights.</i>	6 months	150,000	150,000	-
4) Traffic Signal Installation – Sunrise @ Ralph’s Center Drive <i>Safety for pedestrians & vehicles; \$300,000 reimbursement identified.</i>	9 months	300,000	300,000	-
5) Lighting – Replace Underground Electrical Downtown (Palm Canyon) <i>Old/failing – cannot take any add’l electrical loads (4 yr. total of \$400,000).</i>	4 years	100,000	100,000	-
6) Pavement Condition Survey – Citywide <i>Required to update 5 yr. old survey – not seen critical in year one.</i>	3 months	250,000	-	\$250,000
7) Lighting – Light Removal – District #1 <i>Remove disabled lights – initiated by neighborhood for dark sky.</i>	8 months	300,000	-	300,000
8) Traffic Calming – Speed Reduction <i>Response to neighborhood requests citywide – no approved projects.</i>	9 months	50,000	-	50,000
9) Levee Construction – Tahquitz Creek Levee <i>Tahquitz Creek – W. of Gene Autry – FEMA will not certify for flood protection.</i>	1 year	350,000	-	350,000
10) Parking Lot Construction – City Hall <i>All lots require reconstruction – efficiency of scale for cost savings.</i>		<u>1,000,000</u>	-	<u>1,000,000</u>
Sub-Total		\$2,675,000	\$725,000	\$1,950,000
<u>Public Safety Facilities</u>				
1) Police Department Rear Parking Lot <i>27 yr. old lot needs expansion, repair, and covered spaces.</i>	1.5 months	\$60,000	-	\$60,000
2) Fire Station 5 Replacement – Phase I (Planning, etc.) <i>FS #5 closure creates longer response times, new site covers multiple response districts.</i>	16 months	550,000	-	550,000
3) Fire Station 5 Replacement – Phase II (Implementation)	11 months	<u>2,950,000</u>	-	<u>2,950,000</u>
Sub-Total		\$3,560,000	-	\$3,560,000
Total for Deferred/Recommended Capital Projects		\$7,299,656	\$1,598,656	\$5,701,000
Street Projects (Reduced amount of \$3 million reflects savings by efficient scheduling)		3,300,000	3,000,000	-
Downtown Parking Structure – ANNUAL operation and maintenance		<u>112,000</u>	<u>112,000</u>	-
GRAND TOTAL		\$10,711,656	\$4,710,656	\$5,701,000

Accounting for Sales Tax Revenue From Measure J
 City of Palm Springs

	Estimated Revenue	Actual Revenue
2nd Q 2012		
(April-June 2012)		
April Advance (6/22)	\$650,000.00	\$596,732.94
May Advance (7/18)	650,000.00	580,200.00
June Advance (8/??)	650,000.00	
Final True Up (9/??)	50,000.00	
Total for 2nd Q 2012	\$2,000,000.00	\$1,176,932.94
Total for FY 2011-2012	\$2,000,000.00	\$1,176,932.94