

5-27-53

Mr. McKinney offered a motion that ordinance be introduced, first reading waived, with additional regulation above, suggested by Mr. Miller.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Nathanson; and

During Council discussion:

Mr. Strebe suggested that due to importance of ordinance reading should not be waived.

City Attorney explained procedure regarding reading and introduction of ordinance.

Mr. Boyd commented that the matter of new car sales agencies with service garages should remain permissive; no new car sales agency could operate without service; cannot see that it is any more detrimental to permit such an operation than to permit servicing of household appliances on Palm Canyon Drive.

Mr. McKinney agreed but expressed view that ordinance should be introduced at this present time, by title, and these controversial issues may be taken care of later by amendatory ordinances as necessary.

As moved and seconded, the ordinance was introduced by title, with Mr. Miller's amendments, first reading waived, by following vote:

AYES: Councilmen McKinney, Strebe, Miller, Nathanson and Mayor pro tem Boyd.

NO: None

ABSENT: Mayor Farrell and Mrs. Hardy

Here with Mayor declared a

RECESS, and upon reconvening, agenda was resumed with

REPORTS:

Departmental reports were presented, examined and ordered filed, as follows:

City Treasurer:

- a) Treasurer, April, 1953.
- b) Contingencies Reserve, 1952-53 Appropriations, 7-1-52 to 5-13-53. (supersedes report of 4-27-53).
- c) Budget Report of Receipts by Source, May 15, 1953.
- d) Parking Meter, 1949-50 through April, 1953.
- e) Sales Tax:
 1. Comparative, receipts, 1949-50 through April, 1953.
 2. Receipts and outlets, January-March, incl., 1952 and 1953.

City Manager - with recommendations:

1. Housing Project in Section 14 - North Calle Encilia: Read letter from Public Housing Administration Agency Director, San Francisco Office, stating that unless within thirty days Council acts by resolution to request continuance of project it will be assumed that City has decided to proceed with removal of housing; reported survey by Chief Building Inspector indicating that buildings involved are of such construction that cost to bring them into conformance with City's building code would be prohibitive; they have temporary roofs, no footings and most of these temporary structures are nonconforming.

- Consensus after discussion: no action.